PTOL-413A (10-07)
Approved for use through 10/31/2007. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Palent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form					
Application No.: 10/77 Examiner: Snow Brue	3, 684 e E.	First Named Appli Art Unit: 3738	cant: <u>panl A. Lor</u> Status of Appl	ckeication:_Pend	ing
Tentative Participants: (1) Stephen Eland	:	(2)			HALFAX CENTE
		_ (4)	FEB 0 4 2008  Proposed Time: 11 am (AM/PM)		
Proposed Date of Inter	view: <u>02/0</u>	06/08	Proposed Ti	me: <u>11 am</u>	_(AM/PM)
Type of Interview Req (1) [X] Telephonic	ucsted: (2) [ ] Person	nal (3)[] Vi	deo Conference		
Exhibit To Be Shown of If yes, provide brief de	or Demonstra scription:	ited: [ ] YES	[ ] NO		<u> </u>
		Issues To Be l	Discussed		
Issues	Claims/		Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(Rej., Obj., etc) (1) see attached	Fig. #s	Prior Art	. []	[]	[]
(2)			[]	[]	[]
(3)		<u> </u>	[]	[]	[]
(4)	t Attached		[]	[]	[].
Brief Description of A		be Presented:	_		
see attached					
An interview was con NOTE: This form sho (see MPEP § 713.01). This application will no interview. Therefore, a as soon as possible.	uld be complet	ed by applicant and s om issue because of a	ubmitted to the exam uplicant's failure to s	ubmit a writter	record of this
Applicant/Applican	it's Representa	ative Signature	Exa	miner/SPE Sig	nature
Stephen Eland Typed/Printed Name	of Applicant o	or Representative			
41,010 Registration	Number, if ap	plicable			

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USFTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USFTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the complete of application form to the USFTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the complete of application form to the USFTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the complete of predicting the preparing of the USFTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any complete, including upon the individual case. Any complete, including at the USFTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any complete, including upon the individual case. Any complete individua

HECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 0 4 2008

## Summary of Rejections of Independent claims:

- (1) AramUS2006/0058884 All pending claims
- (2) Aubaniac WO 87/02882 Claims 14, 50, 78, 84 (not 1, 59 and 69)
- (3) Merchant 6,616,696 Claim 78

## Points for Discussion

(1) Aram Reference

-Draft declaration under §132 establishing that the relevant disclosure is applicant's own work, as stated in MPEP §2136.05 (Overcoming a 102(e) rejection) and §716.10 (Attribution)

-Official Action recommends adding Dr. Lotke to Aram 11/171,180. However, neither the MPEP nor §102(e) require such a change, especially since the present application claims benefit to provisional application no. 60/535,967, which is the basis for the §102(e) rejection.

## (2) Aubaniac Reference

- -Claims 14, 50 and 78 have been amended to clarify that the width is measured at a point adjacent the trochlear groove portion to eliminate the interpretation in which the width could be measured at the tip, which is a point having virtually no width.
- -Claim 84 the Examiner appears to base the rejection on the previous version of claim 84. In the recent response, claim 84 was amended to recite that either the medial extension or the lateral extension has a length that is that is at least approximately one quarter the length of the body portion. This feature is from dependent claim 99, which the Examiner previously identified as patentable over Aubaniac in the Official Action dated 5/21/2007. It appears that the addition of this feature was simply overlooked in the recent official action.

## (3) Merchant Reference

-Claim 78 amended to recite that the second portion overlies a portion of the intercondylar notch and flares medially or laterally to overlie a medial or lateral portion of the intercondylar notch.